

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/615,971 07/09/2003 Kenneth S. Wales END910-0511041 1289

7590

09/28/2004

FROST BROWN TODD LLC 2200 PNC Center 201 E. Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-4182

EXAMINER DURAND, PAUL R

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

3721

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,971	WALES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Durand	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Se</u>	entember 2004	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-8 and 13-18 is/are versions. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,9-12 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03,04/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,971

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of species I in the reply filed on 9/3/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that applicant asserts that claims 1,9 and 19-21 are generic and read on all inventions. This is found persuasive.
- 2. Claims 3-8 and 13-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/3/04.
- 3. Claims 1,2,9-12 and 19-21 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1,9-11 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Milliman et al (US 6,241,139).

In regard to claims 1,9,10 and 19-21, Milliman discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a surgical instrument 10, handle 22, shaft 14, which contains the firing, articulation and rotation mechanisms, anvil 20, a firing device, with

Art Unit: 3721

handle 24 which translates longitudinal motion to fire the stapler, cutting blade 280 and drive beam 266, which travels in channel 282, end effector 17, support plate (generally indicated by 254), flanking the firing mechanism and springingly engaged (i.e. bending back and for the like a leaf spring) and articulation mechanism 120, comprised of actuation lever 30, which causes rotation articulation of the end effector as it is moved (see Figs. 1,4,9,10, C6,L34-57, C9,L22-29, C12,L50-62 and C15,L21-55).

In regard to claim 11, Milliman discloses the invention substantially as claimed including cutting edge 280, staple cartridge 220, with staples 226 and cams 232 (see Fig.26 and C11,L63 – C12,L8)

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Johnson, Hambling Melling, Jones Green and Geiste have been cited to show devices having similar structure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 703-305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Durand September 22, 2004

EUGENE KIM
PRIMATT TYAMINER